

Hakowski, Denise

From: Cooper, Laura K <Laura.K.Cooper@wv.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 2:09 PM
To: Hakowski, Denise
Cc: Mandirola, Scott G; Smith, Chris B
Subject: RE: CSB data
Attachments: CSB WER lab data 2013.pdf; WVDEP_WQS_WERsummary_CSBCopper_2014.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hey Denise,

I talked to Chris, our WQS program's resident lab data expert (and the guy who found the discrepancies mentioned in Kevin's comments)...

The difference you're seeing between the 1.68 and 0.57 is because 0.57 (in the corrected data sheet for 11/22/13, last page of the attached "WVDEP..." document) is the TOC for a blank, not for "Upstream 001" sample. Notice that the "Site ID" field on that page is blank, indicating the sample resulting in 0.57 TOC and 0.0011 Cu is a blank, not a field sample.

The correct result for WER #2 Upstream is indicated on the "wrong date" data page you have in the info that Kevin sent you (page 5 of the attached "CSB WER..." document). Chris has highlighted the incorrect date on this page, and you can see that for this sample, "UPSTREAM OUTLET 001," the TOC result is 1.68 mg/L.

Does that make sense? If not, give me a call and we can muddle through it together. ☺

Thanks,

Laura K. Cooper
Assistant Director - Water Quality Standards
Division of Water and Waste Management
WV Department of Environmental Protection
Office: [304-926-0499](tel:304-926-0499) x1110
Mobile: [304-206-8901](tel:304-206-8901)
Email: Laura.K.Cooper@wv.gov
Room 2169, 601 57th St SE; Charleston, WV

From: Hakowski, Denise [mailto:Hakowski.Denise@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 11:31 AM
To: Cooper, Laura K
Subject: CSB data

Hi Laura,

I imagine my voicemail is a little confusing. I'm hoping this table helps. Basically, I'm trying to pull out of the CSB data submitted the information needed to calculate a BLM. The data in question is the WER #2 Upstream. In the WER package submitted with the WV WQS submittal, Kevin questioned the incorrect date on the analytical report. In response, CSB sent a corrected page. My problem is, when I look at the analytical report Kevin was questioning (Kevin sent it to us by 12/31/2013 email, the actual report is dated 12/11/2013), it indicates that the organic carbon was 1.68, whereas the date corrected sheet says 0.57, not to mention other info on the 12/11/13 version changes (and the corrected version indicates the upstream organic carbon was the same as reported for WER #1). It's all a little hinky to me. How can we get this clarified?

	pH	Temp (°C)	Organic Carbon (mg/l)
WER #1 Outlet #001	6.76 (lab measured)	1.6	9.98
WER #1 Upstream	7.25 (field measured)	1.6	1.68
WER #2 Outlet #001	6.4 (lab measured)	2.0	8.28
WER #2 Upstream	7.04 (field measured)	2.0	0.57 *